Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 401 (1991) 357-362 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 21296

## The coordination chemistry of iminooxosulphuranes

# X \*. Pseudo-olefinic coordination of $OSNC_6H_4Me-4$ to zerovalent osmium. Crystal structure of $[OsCl(NO)(PPh_3)_2(OSNC_6H_4Me-4)]$

Anthony F. Hill \*

Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL (UK)

### George R. Clark, Clifton E.F. Rickard, Warren R. Roper

Department of Chemistry, University of Auckland, Auckland (New Zealand)

and Max Herberhold

Laboratorium für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, W-8580 Bayreuth (Deutschland)

(Received June 22nd, 1990)

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the complex trans- $[OsCl(NO)(PPh_3)_2(OSNC_6H_4Me-4)]$  has been determined and confirms that the sulphur(IV) heterocumulene adopts a pseudo-olefinic mode of coordination  $(\eta^2-NS)$ , with the pyramidal sulphur trans to chloride.

#### Introduction

Our investigations of the parallels between the coordination chemistries of sulphur(IV) cumulenes have involved the synthesis of a range of complexes of the general form  $[L_nM(O=S=A)]$  which allowed a study of the effect of varying the cumulene (A = O, S, CH<sub>2</sub>, NC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4, NSO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4) [2] and the metal and ancillary ligands ML<sub>n</sub> [3]. Of particular importance is the series of compounds  $[OsCl(NO)(PPh_3)_2(O=S=A)]$  which on the basis of infrared data would appear to be isostructural as distinct from the formally isoelectronic series  $[IrCl(CO)-(PPh_3)_2(O=S=A)]$  wherein the cumulene is either bound in a pseudo-olefinic mode (A, Scheme 1) for A = S or pyramidal mode (B, Scheme 1) for A = O, NSO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4 [2]. Because of the central importance of the osmium complexes we

<sup>\*</sup> For Part IX see ref. 1.



were eager to firmly establish the "metallathiirane-S-oxide" mode of coordination for this series in addition to addressing questions of metal centre stereochemistry raised by the analogy with sulphur dioxide [4].

#### Experimental

The compound  $[OsCl(NO)(PPh_3)_2(OSNC_6H_4Me-4)]$  was prepared according to the procedure outlined previously [2] and in Scheme 2. Crystals suitable for



Scheme 2. Synthesis of [OsCl(NO)(PPh3)2(OSNC6H4Me-4)].



Fig. 1. The structure of  $[OsCl(NO)(PPh_3)_2(OSNC_6H_4Me-4)]$ . Important distances and angles are Os-P 2.421(9), Os-Cl 2.449(12), Os-NO 1.78(3), Os-S 2.354(13), Os-N2 2.05(4), S-N2 1.61(4) Å; Cl-Os-N1 106(1)°, Cl-Os-N2 97(1)°, N1-Os-S 114(1)°.

· ·
- L
Ť
4
- <del>-</del> -
- 19
<b>O</b>
z
5
0
ੁ
~
<u>_</u>
두
2
2
Z
Ĭ
0
ŝ
0
5
ž
멑
Ē
8
Ĕ
-
0
g
) fo
oj (°)
oj (°) i
es (°) fo
gles (°) fo
ngles (°) fo
angles (°) fo
d angles (°) fo
nd angles (°) fo
and angles ( $^{\circ}$ ) fo
) and angles ( $^{\circ}$ ) fo
Å) and angles (°) fo
(Å) and angles (°) fo
hs (Å) and angles (°) fo
ths (Å) and angles (°) fo
agths (Å) and angles (°) fo
engths (Å) and angles (°) fo
lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
id lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
and lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
ed bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
ted bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
ected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo
elected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) fo

	2.449(12)	Os-S	2.354(13)	Os-P1	2.421(9)	
IN-	1.78(3)	Os-N2	2.05(4)	N1-01	1.15(5)	
2S	1.61(4)	S-02	1.44(1)	N2-C19	1.45(5)	
				s. S	(14)°	
Os-CI	139.4(4)	N2-Os-N1	156.3(14)	¥.		
-Os-Cl	88.5(2)	02-S-Os	121.6(19)	28.7(14)° //		
-Os-S	90.3(2)	N2-S-Os	58.7(14)	トチ		
-0°-CI	106.5(11)	N2-S-02	114(2)	Y ==	151(3)°	
-Os-S	114.2(11)	02-S-02*	114(4)		t2.1(11)°	
-0s-P1	91.7(2)	01-N1-Os	179(3)	114.2(11)°	01110 20	
-Os-CI	97.2(11)	S-N2-Os	79.1(16)		-(II)Z./A	
-0s-S	42.1(11)	C19-N2-Os	151(3)	N I I		
-Os-P1	88.9(2)	C19-N2-S	130(3) O	00		
			1	106.5(11)		
					€CI	

т

i H

I

.

| ;

> 1 1

I

;

ţ

l

ł

I

diffractometry were obtained by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the compound.

Crystal data.  $C_{43}H_{37}CIN_2O_2OsP_2S$ , M = 933.40, orthorhombic intensely-red irregular fragments, *Pnma*, a = 15.173(10), b = 15.461(4), c = 20.584(3) Å; V = 4828.8 Å<sup>3</sup>; Z = 4;  $D_c = 1.28$  gcm<sup>-3</sup>; F(000) = 1856;  $\lambda(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 0.710$  69 Å;  $\mu = 29.58$  cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\theta_{max} = 23^{\circ}$  (Table 1). A total of 2487 unique reflections of which 1117 were deemed to be observed  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ , were collected on a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, and corrected for absorption [5]. The maximum and minimum correction factors were 1.0000 and 0.9397 respectively. The structure was solved by conventional Patterson and Fourier techniques, and refined using full-matrix least-squares equations employing the program SHELX-76 [6]. The molecule lies on the crystallographic mirror plane with the oxygen atom attached to the sulphur statistically lying above or below the equatorial plane. Only one of the two possible oxygen positions is shown in the figure. Final residuals, R = 0.0790 and 0.0792. Least squares weighting scheme  $[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0114 F^2]^{-1}$ . No attempt was made to locate

Table 2

Atomic coordinates for [OsCl(NO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-4)] (standard deviations in parentheses)

Atom	x	y	Z
Os	0.10121(12)	0.25000(0)	0.18853(9)
Cl	0.2325(8)	0.25000(0)	0.2577(6)
S	0.0642(8)	0.25000(0)	0.0774(6)
P1	0.1068(6)	0.0935(6)	0.1882(4)
01	-0.053(3)	0.25000(0)	0.2753(19)
02	0.038(3)	0.1720(18)	0.0443(19)
N1	0.008(2)	0.25000(0)	0.2418(16)
N2	0.165(2)	0.25000(0)	0.1009(19)
C1	0.012(2)	0.039(2)	0.1493(14)
C2	-0.071(3)	0.077(3)	0.1620(18)
C3	-0.145(3)	0.044(3)	0.132(2)
C4	-0.140(3)	-0.040(3)	0.099(2)
C5	-0.053(3)	-0.083(3)	0.090(2)
C6	0.024(6)	-0.039(2)	0.1164(19)
C7	0.109(2)	0.043(2)	0.2675(14)
C8	0.104(2)	0.093(2)	0.3242(16)
С9	0.099(3)	0.051(2)	0.3897(18)
C10	0.103(3)	-0.046(3)	0.3883(18)
C11	0.109(3)	-0.088(3)	0.3326(19)
C12	0.108(3)	-0.048(3)	0.2696(19)
C13	0.207(2)	0.059(2)	0.1463(15)
C14	0.281(3)	0.034(3)	0.192(2)
C15	0.359(3)	0.013(3)	0.1539(18)
C16	0.362(3)	0.001(3)	0.0878(19)
C17	0.285(3)	0.018(3)	0.048(2)
C18	0.208(3)	0.049(3)	0.080(2)
C19	0.246(3)	0.25000(0)	0.063(2)
C20	0.326(3)	0.25000(0)	0.099(2)
C21	0.408(4)	0.25000(0)	0.069(3)
C22	0.406(4)	0.25000(0)	-0.002(2)
C23	0.320(4)	0.25000(0)	-0.036(3)
C24	0.241(3)	0.25000(0)	-0.002(2)
C25	0.491(5)	0.25000(0)	-0.041(3)

hydrogen atoms. Os, Cl, S and P atoms were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters. Maximum value on a final difference map is  $1.85 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  near Os. Atomic positions are listed in Table 2. Tables of thermal parameters, and complete bond lengths and angles and a list of observed and calculated structure factors are available from the authors (G.R.C.).

#### **Results and discussion**

The X-ray analysis (Table 1) confirms the coordination geometry and mode of coordination of the iminooxosulphurane ligand in the complex [OsCl(NO)-(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4)]. Thus in agreement with our original interpretation of infrared data, the sulphur(IV) heterocumulene is found to adopt a pseudo-olefinic ligation to the electron rich osmium centre. The nitrogen-sulphur bond length is extended to 1.61(4) Å as a result of this coordination, a value of ca 1.53 Å being expected on the basis of comparison with the free compound CH<sub>3</sub>NSO [ $r_{NS}$  1.524(4) Å; electron diffraction] [7]. The complex [Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>3</sub>-2,4,6)] has also been crystallographically characterised [8] and shows a similar lengthening [ $r_{NS}$  = 1.63(1) Å]. This is consistent with significant retrodative bonding from the electron-rich centre to the electrophilic cumulene, a process not apparently operating as significantly in the case of [RhCl(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4)] [1.520 (9) Å] [9] wherein the cumulene binds in a monodentate planar manner (**C**, Scheme 1).

The possibility of coordination isomers arises for a complex such as *trans*- $[OsCl(NO)(PPh_3)_2(OSNC_6H_4Me-4)]$  in that the arrangement of chloride and nitrosyl ligands may place the nitrosyl ligand either *cis* or *trans* to the sulphur atom of the OsNS metallacycle. On the basis of ideas introduced by Ryan et al. to explain a similar situation for sulphur dioxide coordination [4], we had suggested that in the groundstate, the sulphur of the cumulene would lie *trans* to the chloride ligand, this being the weaker  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand [2]. We now find that this is indeed the case and anticipate that the rules developed for SO<sub>2</sub> coordination will be generally applicable to iminooxosulphurane ligation.

The geometry of the OsCl(NO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> fragment is essentially isostructural with that in the complex  $[OsAu(\mu-CH_2)ClI(NO)(PPh_3)_2]$  [10], the N-Os-Cl angle being expanded some 14° in the latter (Scheme 3). This latter compound might be viewed as a complex between the OsCl(NO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> fragment and the hypothetical hetero-



Scheme 3. Coordination isomers of trans-[OsCl(NO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4)].



Scheme 4. Coordination of the pseudo-olefins OS=NR and I-Au=CH<sub>2</sub>.

olefin I-Au=CH<sub>2</sub>. The essentially linear equatorial nitrosyl ligand present in both trigonal bipyramidal complexes suggests a strong  $\pi$ -acceptor role for the ligands OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4 and "I-Au=CH<sub>2</sub>" according to Hoffmann's postulates on nitrosyl coordination [11]. The considerable lengthening of the N-S bond in the complex [OsCl(NO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OSNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-4)] via retrodative  $d\pi$ -NS $\pi$  suggests an activation of the iminooxosulphurane towards electrophilic attack and our preliminary reactivity studies support this [12]. This aspect of iminooxosulphurane coordination is currently under investigation.

#### References

- 1 Part IX: M. Herberhold and A.F. Hill, J. Organomet. Chem., 395 (1990) 327.
- 2 M. Herberhold and A.F. Hill, J. Organomet. Chem., 387 (1990) 323.
- 3 M. Herberhold and A.F. Hill, J. Organomet. Chem., 395 (1990) 195.
- 4 R.R. Ryan, G.J. Kubas, D.C. Moody and P.G. Eller, Struct. Bonding, 46 (1981) 47.
- 5 A.C. North, D.C. Phillips, and F.S. Matthews, Acta Crystallogr. A, 24 (1968) 351.
- 6 G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX-76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, 1976.
- 7 B. Beagley, S.J. Chantrell, R.G. Kirby and D.G. Schmidling, J. Mol. Struct., 25 (1975) 319.
- 8 R. Meij, D.J. Stufkens, K. Vrieze, E. Roosendaal and H. Schenk, J. Organomet. Chem., 155 (1978) 323.
- 9 R. Meij, D.J. Stufkens, K. Vrieze, W. Van Gerresheim and C.H. Stam, J. Organomet. Chem., 164 (1979) 353.
- 10 A.F. Hill, W.R. Roper, J.M. Waters and A.H. Wright, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105 (1983) 5939.
- 11 R. Hoffmann, M.M.L. Chen, M. Elian, A.R. Rossi and D.M.P. Mingos, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 2666.
- 12 M. Herberhold and A.F. Hill, J. Organomet. Chem., 395 (1990) 207.